# Supplementary Materials

# Google4Habitat – a novel method for remote sensing-based habitat classification using Google Earth Engine

Gregory Egger, Stephan Preinstorfer, Marlene Kollmann, Isabell Becker, Emma Izquierdo-Verdiguier, Miriam Paul



Suppl. Fig. 1



Supplementary Figure 1: Map 1a2, based on Sentinel-2 data, LiDAR data, and corrected reference points for 2022. Source: own figure

**Ergänzende Abbildung 1:** Karte 1a2, basierend auf Sentinel-2 Daten, LiDAR Daten und korrigierten Referenzpunkten für 2022. Quelle: eigene Abbildung

**Supplementary Figure 2:** Map 1b, segmentation map of Map 1a1. Source: own figure

**Ergänzende Abbildung 2:** Karte 1b, Segmentierungskarte von Karte 1a1. Quelle: eigene Abbildung





Suppl. Fig. 4

Supplementary Figure 3: Map 2a, based on Sentinel-2 data and reference points for 2022. Source: own figure

**Ergänzende Abbildung 3:** Karte 2a, basierend auf Sentinel-2 Daten und Referenzpunkten für 2022. Quelle: eigene Abbildung

Supplementary Figure 4: Map 2b, segmentation map of Map 2a. Source: own figure

**Ergänzende Abbildung 4:** Karte 2b, Segmentierungskarte von Karte 2a. Quelle: eigene Abbildung





Suppl. Fig. 6

Supplementary Figure 5: Map 3a, based on Landsat data, LiDAR data, and reference points for 2002. Source: own figure

**Ergänzende Abbildung 5:** Karte 3a, basierend auf Landsat Daten, LiDAR Daten und Referenzpunkten für 2002. Quelle: eigene Abbildung

**Supplementary Figure 6:** Map 3b, segmentation map of Map 3a. Source: own figure

**Ergänzende Abbildung 6:** Karte 3b, Segmentierungskarte von Karte 3a. Quelle: eigene Abbildung





Supplementary Figure 7: Map 4, classification map based on Landsat data (without LiDAR) and reference points for 2022 (terrain map). Source: own figure

**Ergänzende Abbildung 7:** Karte 4, Klassifikationskarte basierend auf Landsat Daten (ohne LiDAR) und Referenzpunkten für 2022 (Geländemodell). Quelle: eigene Abbildung

Supplementary Figure 8: Map 5a, classification map based on Landsat data (without LiDAR) and reference points for 2002, trained on Landsat-5 data from 1992. Source: own figure

**Ergänzende Abbildung 8:** Karte 5a, Klassifikationskarte basierend auf Landsat Daten (ohne LiDAR) und Referenzpunkten für 2002, trainiert auf Landsat-5 Daten von 1992. Quelle: eigene Abbildung 

### Suppl. Tab. 1

	RS model	Grey alder forest	Spruce forest	Larch/Swiss pine forest	Green alder shrub	Mountain pine shrub	Dwarf shrub	Tall forb community	Nutrient-poor grassland	Nutrient-rich grassland	Fen	Rock	Glacier/snow field	Rock debris	Still water	Total	Producer's accuracy
Field reference		0		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Grey alder forest	0	69	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.69
Spruce forest		1	89	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.89
Larch/Swiss pine forest	2	0	15	77	7	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.77
Green alder shrub	3	0	7	22	63	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.63
Mountain pine shrub	4	0	0	7	0	77	3	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.77
Dwarf shrub		0	0	0	0	3	53	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.53
Tall forb community	6	0	0	0	4	0	4	83	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.83
Nutrient-poor grassland	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	87	2	0	2	0	2	0	100	0.87
Nutrient-rich grassland	8	10	0	0	0	3	0	3	9	74	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.74
Fen	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	36	0	0	0	0	100	0.36
Rock	10	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	87	0	8	0	100	0.87
Glacier/snow field	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	58	26	3	100	0.58
Rock debris	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	12	4	80	0	100	0.80
Still water	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	1.00

### Suppl. Tab. 2

	RS model	Grey alder forest	Spruce forest	Larch/Swiss pine forest	Green alder shrub	Mountain pine shrub	Dwarf shrub	Tall forb community	Nutrient-poor grassland	Nutrient-rich grassland	Fen	Rock	Glacier/snow field	Rock debris	Still water	Total	Producer's accuracy
Field reference		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Grey alder forest	0	92	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.92
Spruce forest		1	92	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	100	0.92
Larch/Swiss pine forest	2	2	12	80	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.80
Green alder shrub	3	7	0	30	30	4	11	15	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.30
Mountain pine shrub	4	0	0	7	0	90	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.90
Dwarf shrub		0	0	3	0	3	53	3	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.53
Tall forb community	6	0	0	0	10	0	14	67	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.67
Nutrient-poor grassland	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	94	2	0	0	0	1	0	100	0.94
Nutrient-rich grassland	8	4	0	8	0	4	8	16	24	36	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.36
Fen	9	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	79	0	0	0	0	100	0.79
Rock	10	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	68	5	18	0	100	0.68
Glacier/snow field	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	68	16	0	100	0.68
Rock debris	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	11	5	81	0	100	0.81
Still water	13	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	89	100	0.89

Supplementary Table 1: Confusion matrix for Map 1a2, including producer's accuracy values

**Ergänzende Tabelle 1:** Fehlermatrix für Karte 1a2 mit producer's accuracy Werten

Supplementary Table 2: Confusion matrix for Map 2a, including the producer's accuracy values

**Ergänzende Tabelle 2:** Fehlermatrix für Karte 2a mit producer's accuracy Werten

### Carinthia Nature Tech (2024) | Volume 1 | Issue 1 | pages 85–94

Suppl. Tab. 3																	
	RS model	Grey alder forest	Spruce forest	Larch/Swiss pine forest	Green alder shrub	Mountain pine shrub	Dwarf shrub	Tall forb community	Nutrient-poor grassland	Nutrient-rich grassland	Fen	Rock	Glacier/snow field	Rock debris	Still water	Total	Producer's accuracy
Field reference		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Grey alder forest	0	76	20	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.76
Spruce forest		0	91	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.91
Larch/Swiss pine forest	2	0	12	83	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	100	0.83
Green alder shrub	3	7	4	4	56	7	7	4	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	100	0.56
Mountain pine shrub	4	0	0	17	3	63	10	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.63
Dwarf shrub	5	0	0	0	0	5	63	0	28	3	3	0	0	0	0	100	0.63
Tall forb community	6	0	0	0	10	0	10	57	5	19	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.57
Nutrient-poor grassland	7	0	0	0	1	1	9	0	80	1	1	3	0	5	0	100	0.80
Nutrient-rich grassland	8	0	0	4	4	0	8	24	36	12	8	4	0	0	0	100	0.12
Fen	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	86	0	0	0	0	100	0.86
Rock	10	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	68	5	16	0	100	0.68
Glacier/snow field	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	35	55	3	100	0.35
Rock debris	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	11	4	80	0	100	0.80
Still water	13	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	89	100	0.89

Suppl. Tab. 4																	
	<- Classification Sentinel+LIDAR (1a1)	Grey alder forest	Spruce forest	Larch/Swiss pine forest	Green alder shrub	Mountain pine shrub	Dwarf shrub	Tall forb community	Nutrient-poor grassland	Nutrient-rich grassland	Fen	Rock	Glacier/snow field	Rock debris	Still water	Total	Producer's accuracy
Classification Landsat+LIDAR (3a) ->			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Grey alder forest	0	55	17	1	1	0	0	1	0	14	3	6	0	1	0	100	0.55
Spruce forest		5	79	7	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	100	0.79
Larch/Swiss pine forest	2	0	11	63	8	6	3	2	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	100	0.63
Green alder shrub	3	4	13	21	24	6	8	6	9	4	0	5	0	0	0	100	0.24
Mountain pine shrub	4	0	1	6	4	62	8	1	13	0	0	3	0	2	0	100	0.62
Dwarf shrub		0	0	3	6	5	51	0	31	0	0	0	0	1	0	100	0.51
Tall forb community	6	3	7	7	7	6	1	42	5	10	0	8	0	4	0	100	0.42
Nutrient-poor grassland	7	0	0	1	1	2	3	0	81	1	0	4	0	7	0	100	0.81
Nutrient-rich grassland	8	10	2	3	3	2	1	8	5	60	4	5	0	0	0	100	0.60
Fen	9	12	0	0	0	1	1	0	8	28	49	0	0	0	1	100	0.49
Rock	10	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	74	4	8	0	100	0.74
Glacier/snow field	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	22	49	27	0	100	0.49
Rock debris	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	7	3	81	0	100	0.81
Still water	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	16	1	0	7	62	100	0.62

Supplementary Table 3: Confusion matrix for Map 3a, including the producer's accuracy values

**Ergänzende Tabelle 3:** Fehlermatrix für Karte 3a mit producer's accuracy Werten

Supplementary Table 4: Confusion matrix for the intersection of Maps 1a1 and 3a, including the producer's accuracy values

**Ergänzende Tabelle 4:** Fehlermatrix für die Verschneidung von Karten 1a1 und 3a mit producer's accuracy Werten

Suppl. Tab. 5	Classification (a)	Grey alder forest	Spruce forest	Larch/Swiss pine forest	Green alder shrub	Mountain pine shrub	Dwarf shrub	Tall forb community	Nutrient-poor grassland	Nutrient-rich grassland	Fen	Rock	Glacier/snow field	Rock debris	Still water	Total	Producer's accuracy
Segmentation (b)			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Grey alder forest	0	74.2	12.8	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.0	1.0	0.1	8.0	0.9	1.7	0.0	0.1	0.0	100	0.74
Spruce forest		1.4	92.8	3.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	0.93
Larch/Swiss pine forest	2	0.2	5.7	80.0	3.0	2.9	1.9	1.5	2.4	0.4	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	0.80
Green alder shrub	3	0.7	3.4	24.2	48.0	3.2	8.1	3.3	5.9	1.2	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	0.48
Mountain pine shrub	4	0.1	1.9	9.3	1.2	75.9	1.3	0.2	6.3	0.5	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	100	0.76
Dwarf shrub	5	0.0	0.0	5.8	3.8	2.3	75.1	0.5	12.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	100	0.75
Tall forb community	6	1.7	2.4	8.8	3.3	0.2	0.5	73.5	1.8	3.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	2.4	0.0	100	0.74
Nutrient-poor grassland	7	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.4	0.8	1.6	0.2	89.6	0.2	0.0	2.1	0.0	3.9	0.0	100	0.90
Nutrient-rich grassland	8	7.5	4.0	2.9	1.2	0.5	0.1	2.2	1.6	77.0	1.0	1.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	100	0.77
Fen	9	10.0	2.1	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.5	0.2	2.6	15.3	64.5	2.8	0.0	0.1	0.7	100	0.65
Rock	10	0.3	2.2	1.2	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.3	3.5	0.3	0.1	84.3	1.2	5.9	0.0	100	0.84
Glacier/snow field	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	85.9	6.5	0.0	100	0,86
Rock debris	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.6	91.5	0.0	100	0.92
Still water	13	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	2.8	1.9	3.0	0.5	8.3	81.9	100	0.82

Suppl. Tab. 6																	
	RS model	Grey alder forest	Spruce forest	Larch/Swiss pine forest	Green alder shrub	Mountain pine shrub	Dwarf shrub	Tall forb community	Nutrient-poor grassland	Nutrient-rich grassland	Fen	Rock	Glacier/snow field	Rock debris	Still water	Total	Producer's accuracy
Habitat type				2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Grey alder forest	0	68	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	100	0.68
Spruce forest		3	86	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.86
Larch/Swiss pine forest	2	0	12	57	8	15	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.57
Green alder shrub	3	0	4	22	22	0	15	4	7	7	0	7	0	7	4	100	0.22
Mountain pine shrub	4	0	10	20	0	43	10	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.43
Dwarf shrub		0	0	15	3	3	38	0	33	0	5	0	0	5	0	100	0.38
Tall forb community	6	5	10	0	5	0	0	71	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.71
Nutrient-poor grassland	7	0	0	2	0	2	4	0	85	0	1	1	1	5	0	100	0.85
Nutrient-rich grassland	8	28	4	16	0	0	8	8	24	12	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.12
Fen	9	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	64	0	0	0	0	100	0.64
Rock	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	0	72	3	14	0	100	0.72
Glacier/snow field	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	39	35	0	100	0.39
Rock debris	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	15	7	71	0	100	0.71
Still water	13	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	94	100	0.94

Supplementary Table 5: Confusion matrix of the intersection of Map 1a1 (classification) and Map 1b (segmentation), including the producer's accuracy values

**Ergänzende Tabelle 5:** Fehlermatrix für die Verschneidung von Karte 1a1 (Klassifikation) und Karte 1b (Segmentation) mit producer's accuracy Werten

Supplementary Table 6: Confusion matrix for Map 5a, including the producer's accuracy values

**Ergänzende Tabelle 6:** Fehlermatrix für Karte 5a mit producer's accuracy Werten

### Carinthia Nature Tech (2024) | Volume 1 | Issue 1 | pages 85–94

Suppl. Tab. 7	RS model	Grey alder forest	Spruce forest	Larch/Swiss pine forest	Green alder shrub	Mountain pine shrub	Dwarf shrub	Tall forb community	Nutrient-poor grassland	Nutrient-rich grassland	Fen	Rock	Glacier/snow field	Rock debris	Still water	Total	Producer's accuracy
Field reference		0		2	3	4		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Grey alder forest		80	12	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.80
Spruce forest		4	85	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	100	0.85
Larch/Swiss pine forest	2	2	10	80	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	100	0.80
Green alder shrub	3	4	7	7	52	0	7	0	7	7	0	4	0	4	0	100	0.52
Mountain pine shrub	4	0	0	13	10	63	3	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.63
Dwarf shrub	5	0	0	0	0	0	55	0	38	3	3	0	0	3	0	100	0.55
Tall forb community	6	0	0	0	5	0	10	76	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	100	0.76
Nutrient-poor grassland	7	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	82	1	1	2	0	6	0	100	0.82
Nutrient-rich grassland	8	0	0	4	0	0	8	12	32	36	8	0	0	0	0	100	0.36
Fen	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	79	0	0	0	0	100	0.79
Rock	10	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	73	5	14	0	100	0.73
Glacier/snow field	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	42	52	3	100	0.42
Rock debris	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	9	8	76	0	100	0.76
Still water	13	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	89	100	0.89

92

Supplementary Table 7: Confusion matrix of the intersection of Maps 4 and 5a, including the producer's accuracy values

**Ergänzende Tabelle 7:** Fehlermatrix für die Verschneidung von Karten 4 und 5a mit producer's accuracy Werten

## Blending tradition with innovation: how acoustic sensors are revolutionizing rock ptarmigan monitoring

Jennifer Lisa Insupp, Vanessa Berger, Gunther Greßmann





Suppl. Fig. 1b



**Supplementary** 

Figure 1: Detections/hour for each site and device. Calls were counted once per minute of recording when they occurred (no multiple counts in one-minute recording). AudioMoth site 1 (a); AudioMoth and Song Meter Micro site 2 (b); AudioMoth and Song Meter Micro site 3 (c); AudioMoth and Song Meter Micro site 4 (d); AudioMoth and Song Meter Micro site site 5 (e). Source: own figure

**Ergänzende Abbildung 1:** Rufnachweise pro Gerät und Tageszeit, Rufe wurden 1x pro Minute gezählt, wenn sie auftraten (keine Mehrfachzählungen innerhalb einer 1-minütigen Aufnahme). AudioMoth Fläche 1 (a); AudioMoth und Song Meter Micro Fläche 2 (b); AudioMoth und Song Meter Micro Fläche 3 (c); AudioMoth und Song Meter Micro Fläche 4 (d); AudioMoth und Song Meter Micro Fläche 5 (e). Quelle: eigene Abbildung





